C.S. 1001 (1)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

Writ Petition No. 1081 /06

PETITIONER : **Dr. Brahmanand Markandey** S/o late Dharma Markandey Aged about 47 years, Occupation- Asst.- Professor (Geography) Govt. Lahiri college, Chirimiri, R/o Qtr. No. 08, Professor Colony, Bada Bazaar, Chirimiri, Distt.- Korea [C.G.]

R.R. No. - 1151/06
Processed by Sanjay Tiwari
dated 21/3/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1 State of Chhattisgarh
Through- Secretary
Higher Education Technical, Human Resource Science & Technology Department, Raipur [C.G.]

2. The Commissioner,
Higher Education
State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur,
District- Raipur [C.G.]

3. The Principal,
Government Lahiri College,
Chirmiri, District- Korea [C.G.]

4. The Principal,
Govt. College, Bhopalpattanam,
District- Dantewada [C.G.]

RECEIVED-COPY
21/3/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTIONS

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

WP 1081/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7-3-2006 Shri Sanjay Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Heard. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is challenging the transfer order dated 10-11-2005 (Annexure-P/1), by which he has been transferred from Government Lahiri College, Chirmiri, District Korea to Government College, Bhopalpatnam, District Dantewada. The petitioner is working as Assistant Professor. The contention is that the transfer order has been issued against the transfer policy (Annexure-P/3) and during the ban period. Learned counsel submits that on being transferred the education of the children of the petitioner would be adversely affected. He also submits that the petitioner is working from more than 18 years in the tribal area. It is stated that the petitioner submitted representation (Annexure-P/4) before the authorities, but no action has been taken. Shri Patel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, states that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner. The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1081/06 सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of two weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief.

In view of the above, ad-interim stay is granted till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.843/2006 & I.A.No.2601/2006 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये

10.3.06
20/3/06
13/6/06